

Tacoma Power 2022 IRP Workshop #1 Monday, December 20, 2021

PARTICIPANTS

| Name | Representing | Name | Representing |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--------------------|
| Pat Babbit | City of Tacoma | Rachel Clark | TPU – Meeting Lead |
| Chrissy Cooley | TPU Board Member | Haley Saul | TPU – Facilitator |
| Annabel Drayton | NW Energy Coalition | Ahlmahz Negash | TPU – Project Team |
| Kyla Wilson | Pierce County Sustainable Danielle Szigeti | | TPU – Project Team |
| Graham VanderSchelden | NW Seaport Alliance | Gertie Joiner | TPU |
| Paul Munz | BPA | Michael Catsi | TPU |
| Katie Ware | Renewable Northwest | Dave Rosholm | TPU |
| | | Kyle Frankiewich | TPU |
| | | Ray Johnson | TPU |
| | | Keil Drescher | TPU |

NOTES

| TIME | ITEM | LEAD |
|-----------|---|--------------|
| 2:00 P.M. | Welcome | Rachel Clark |
| 2:05 P.M. | Objective & Agenda Review 1. Reintroduce Tacoma Power's 2022 IRP Framework 2. Share how the IRP will be updated in 2022 3. Provide feedback on resources we're considering | Haley |
| 2:10 P.M. | Ice Breaker Menti Survey What is the most interesting energy transformation development you've heard this year? Responses: Solid state batteries Gravity energy storage Hydrogen use for sure Green hydrogen! Green hydrogen Renewable energy to create hydrogen Hydrogen power for maritime and potentially vehicles Green hydrogen hubs Western Resource Adequacy Program (WRAP) + organized markets in the west | Haley |
| 2:20 P.M. | IRP 2022 Introduction | Rachel Clark |

Rachel briefly shared:

| | Th up as ye Fo See Powe | hat the 2022 IRP is an update with some odates to inputs and a few additions but not s many changes as we would do in our four- ear refresh. hocus areas for the 2022 IRP. erPoint for more detail. | |
|-----------|---|---|--------------|
| | Question load is? (I | n Can you elaborate on what electrofuel Kyla) | |
| | • Ra Ac ex cu | ay: Green Hydrogen, Green Ammonia, Formic cid, not strictly green hydrogen. But we spect green hydrogen to be the most likely stomer under our electrofuel pilot rate. | |
| | • Ke be co Lo tha ba | eil: Hydrogen or Hydrogen carriers that could e used as a fuel (e.g. fuel cell). There are ompanies looking to take advantage of that. ong term investors. Lots of people looking at at rate to produce fuel that's not carbon ased. | |
| | • Mi the | chael Catsi: Hydrogen can also be used in e decarbonization of industrial processes | |
| 2:30 P.M. | Modeling | g Framework Discussion | Rachel Clark |
| | Rachel br IRP plann | iefly shared several facts of Tacoma Power's ing process | |
| | • Th | ne IRP is planned out 20 years | |
| | • Th de po | ne different conditions that are analyzed to etermine how Tacoma Power's ortfolios/resources will perform | |
| | • Ta co pe wc | acoma Power uses different scenarios to onsider how our portfolio of resources would erform under different future states of the orld | |
| | • Ta ad we | acoma Power uses probabilistic modeling to Idress yearly variability in things such as eather and water | |
| | • Ta Au | acoma Power's modeling framework using urora (3 rd party) and SAM (internally built) | |
| | See Powe | erPoint for more detail. | |
| | Question TPU does | n Can you explain why we look at gas when n't have natural gas generation? (Keil) | |
| | Ra an a l an de aff ma su | achel: we don't have natural gas generation of aren't considering it. However, it's used by lot of other utilities - the thing that shuts on of off easily. As a result, it's a major eterminate of power prices, and power prices fect us because we buy and sell power on the arket. We need to do this to balance our upply and demand. | |

| | Ahlmahz: Gas is what tends to be called the marginal resource (the last one that gets turned on and called as a resource). The cost of the marginal resource tends to be what sets the market price, and natural gas is usually that resource. | |
|-----------|---|----------------|
| 2:50 P.M. | BREAK!! | |
| 2:55 P.M. | Key Changes & Model Input Updates Prices | Ahlmahz Negash |
| | Ahlmahz presented several items regarding the Aurora model | |
| | Updates to the database made by Energy Exemplar (3rd party responsible for Aurora) | |
| | Updates made by Tacoma Power and the effect they had on the outputs | |
| | See PowerPoint for more detail. | |
| | Question On the scenario slide for carbon policy: Is social cost of carbon just included in the Carbon Policy Accelerates scenario or elsewhere as all? (Annabel) | |
| | Ahlmahz: In Washington state, we included the social cost of carbon in the base case and all other scenarios. For the Carbon Policy Accelerates scenario, it was more stringent than in the base case scenario and included throughout the WECC. | |
| | Question I know that early on, Rachel mentioned that you all were planning to model what it would look like if the lower snake river dams were removed. Is that included in AURORA model runs? (Annabel) | |
| | Rachel: this is just something that is covered just in our system model. When we run this analysis, our market prices won't reflect the removal of those dams. | |
| | Ahlmahz: It's not reflected in the price forecast WECC wide, because we don't know how high up prices would increase. Aurora is monthly allocations of energy so, for hydro, removing a resource isn't as straightforward as removing a dam. It's possible to do, but would take a lot of work. | |

3:20 P.M. **Resources Considered for 2022**

Rachel Clark

Resources Tacoma Power is planning to consider:

- Tacoma Power hydro
- BPA contract
- Solar •
- Wind (various locations)Demand response

- Storage
- Small modular nuclear reactors
- Conservation

A survey was taken asking participants, "Do you have any concerns about the resources considered for the 2022 IRP?"

Responses:

- 1 I have concerns about the resources being considered by Tacoma Power in 2022
- 1 I have concerns that there are resources NOT being considered by Tacoma Power in 2022
- 6 I have NO major concerns

Question | On the small modular reactor, I'd be curious to know what assumptions and cost assumptions are being used for that. We still have to learn more on how you've built out demand response into the modelling, and learning about other resources. (Annabel)

- Rachel: We weren't planning on sharing the nitty gritty within our workshops but we are planning on sharing price assumptions electronically and allow people to review and comment outside of meeting time.
- **ACTION** | Rachel to send assumptions related to small modular reactor to Annabel once we have assumptions.

| 3:45 P.M. | Next Steps | Rachel Clark |
|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | Workshop 2 March 2, 2022 | |
| | Workshop 3 June 30, 2022 | |
| | Workshop 4 July 19, 2022 | |
| | See PowerPoint for more detail. | |