



3628 South 35th Street  
Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. David Swindale  
Planning Director  
City of University Place  
3715 Bridgeport Way West  
University Place, WA 98466

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Swindale:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: [MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan](http://MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan)

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

**Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form** and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline  
3628 S 35<sup>th</sup> St  
Tacoma, WA 98409  
[jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us](mailto:jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us)

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE  
Water Supply Section  
Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

## Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: \_\_\_\_\_

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.5 Table 2-3	
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.5 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction \_\_\_\_\_

## Consistency Review Guidance

### ***For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers***

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For **water system plans (WSP)**, a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency:** The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
- a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
  - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
  - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
  - d) All **service area policies** for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
  - e) **Other relevant elements** the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency:** Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency:** Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).



3628 South 35th Street  
Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Jason Sullivan  
Senior Planner  
City of Bonney Lake  
9002 Main Street East, Ste 300  
Bonney Lake, WA 98391

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Sullivan:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: [MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan](http://MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan)

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

**Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form** and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline  
3628 S 35<sup>th</sup> St  
Tacoma, WA 98409  
[jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us](mailto:jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us)

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE  
Water Supply Section  
Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

## Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: \_\_\_\_\_

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.5 Table 2-3	
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.5 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction \_\_\_\_\_

## Consistency Review Guidance

### ***For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers***

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For **water system plans (WSP)**, a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency:** The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
- a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
  - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
  - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
  - d) All **service area policies** for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
  - e) **Other relevant elements** the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency:** Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency:** Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).



3628 South 35th Street  
Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Robert Hansen  
Planning Manager  
City of Federal Way  
33325 8th Avenue South  
Federal Way, WA 98003

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Hansen:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: [MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan](http://MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan)

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

**Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form** and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline  
3628 S 35<sup>th</sup> St  
Tacoma, WA 98409  
[jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us](mailto:jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us)

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE  
Water Supply Section  
Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

## Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: \_\_\_\_\_

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.5 Table 2-3	
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.6 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction \_\_\_\_\_

## Consistency Review Guidance

### *For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers*

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For **water system plans (WSP)**, a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency:** The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
- a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
  - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
  - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
  - d) All **service area policies** for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
  - e) **Other relevant elements** the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency:** Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency:** Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).



3628 South 35th Street  
Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Tom Utterback  
Planning Director  
City of Puyallup  
333 South Meridian, 2nd Floor  
Puyallup, WA 98371

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Utterback:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: [MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan](http://MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan)

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

**Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form** and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline  
3628 S 35<sup>th</sup> St  
Tacoma, WA 98409  
[jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us](mailto:jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us)

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE  
Water Supply Section  
Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

## Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: \_\_\_\_\_

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.5 Table 2-3	
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.5 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction \_\_\_\_\_

## Consistency Review Guidance

### *For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers*

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For **water system plans (WSP)**, a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency:** The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
- a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
  - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
  - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
  - d) All **service area policies** for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
  - e) **Other relevant elements** the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency:** Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency:** Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).



3628 South 35th Street  
Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Courtney Brunell  
Planning Manager  
City of Lakewood  
6000 Main Street SW  
Lakewood, WA 98499-5027

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Brunell:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: [MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan](http://MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan)

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

**Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form** and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline  
3628 S 35<sup>th</sup> St  
Tacoma, WA 98409  
[jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us](mailto:jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us)

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE  
Water Supply Section  
Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

# Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: \_\_\_\_\_

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.5 Table 2-3	
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.5 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

## Consistency Review Guidance

### ***For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers***

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For **water system plans (WSP)**, a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency:** The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
- a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
  - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
  - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
  - d) All **service area policies** for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
  - e) **Other relevant elements** the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency:** Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency:** Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).



3628 South 35th Street  
Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Rob White  
Town Planner  
Town of Ruston  
5117 North Winnifred Street  
Ruston, WA 98407

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. White:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: [MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan](http://MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan)

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

**Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form** and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline  
3628 S 35<sup>th</sup> St  
Tacoma, WA 98409  
[jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us](mailto:jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us)

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE  
Water Supply Section  
Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

## Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: \_\_\_\_\_

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.5 Table 2-3	
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.5 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction \_\_\_\_\_

## Consistency Review Guidance

### *For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers*

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For **water system plans (WSP)**, a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency:** The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
- a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
  - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
  - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
  - d) All **service area policies** for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
  - e) **Other relevant elements** the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency:** Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency:** Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).



3628 South 35th Street  
Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Jeff Boers  
City of Fircrest  
115 Ramsdell Street  
Tacoma, WA 98466

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Boers:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: [MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan](http://MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan)

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

**Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form** and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline  
3628 S 35<sup>th</sup> St  
Tacoma, WA 98409  
[jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us](mailto:jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us)

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE  
Water Supply Section  
Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

## Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: \_\_\_\_\_

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.6 Table 2-3	
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.6 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction \_\_\_\_\_

## Consistency Review Guidance

### ***For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers***

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For **water system plans (WSP)**, a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency:** The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
- a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
  - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
  - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
  - d) All **service area policies** for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
  - e) **Other relevant elements** the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency:** Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency:** Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).



3628 South 35th Street  
Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Lihuang Wung  
Senior Planner  
City of Tacoma  
747 Market Street, Room 345  
Tacoma, WA 98402

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Wung:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: [MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan](http://MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan)

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

**Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form** and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline  
3628 S 35<sup>th</sup> St  
Tacoma, WA 98409  
[jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us](mailto:jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us)

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE  
Water Supply Section  
Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

## Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: \_\_\_\_\_

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.5 Table 2-3	
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.5 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction \_\_\_\_\_

## Consistency Review Guidance

### ***For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers***

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For **water system plans (WSP)**, a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency:** The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
- a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
  - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
  - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
  - d) All **service area policies** for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
  - e) **Other relevant elements** the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency:** Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency:** Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).