

Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. David Swindale Planning Director City of University Place 3715 Bridgeport Way West University Place, WA 98466

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Swindale:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline 3628 S 35th St Tacoma, WA 98409 jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE Water Supply Section

Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

Jason moline



Local Government Consistency Determination Form

anning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan	_Plan Date: <u>Marc</u>	ո 2018
ocal Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review:	. Alika Peranganan Kabupatèn	
efore the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or enging Section 110, the local government must review the documentation covides to prove the submittal is consistent with local comprehensic evelopment regulations (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Sectermination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right pust address the elements identified below as they relate to water sections.	the municipal wave plans, land us tion 105 require a lace-of-use expan	ter supplier e plans and local consiste
v signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the doce the applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reserves or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensed explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the incoarsing N/A. See more instructions on reverse.	eviewer identifies a sive plan or develo	an inconsisten opment regula
	system	government
	Identify the	V
Local Government Consistency Statement	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted land us and zoning within the service area.	page(s) in submittal	
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land us</u>	page(s) in submittal se Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L Tables 3-1	
 a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land us</u> and zoning within the service area. b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the 	page(s) in submittal Se Section 2,4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
 a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land us</u> and zoning within the service area. b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology. c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u>: All water service are policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all 	page(s) in submittal Se Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L Tables 3-1 And 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L a Section 2.4	

Date

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

Signature

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a <u>municipal water</u> <u>supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
 - a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
 - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
 - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
 - d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
 - e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did <u>not</u> provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.



Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Jason Sullivan Senior Planner City of Bonney Lake 9002 Main Street East, Ste 300 Bonney Lake, WA 98391

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Sullivan:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: Myrpu.org/WaterSystemPlan

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline 3628 S 35th St Tacoma, WA 98409 jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE Water Supply Section

Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

moline



Weshington State Department of Health Participating I section processed at Health The Company of Participating Western The Company of Participating Western Local Government Consistency Determination Form

et vales. The first of the surface series was defended to the first of the series of t		100
Vater System Name: <u>City of Tacoma/Water Division</u> (Tacoma Water) I	PWS ID: <u>86800N</u>	ere ere
	Plan Date: <u>Marc</u> ł	
ocal Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review:	randa en la <mark>com</mark>	
efore the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engine r Section 110, the local government must review the documentation to rovides to prove the submittal is consistent with local comprehensive levelopment regulations (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section letermination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right planust address the elements identified below as they relate to water servence.	ering submittal of the municipal was plans, land us on 105 require and ce-of-use expar	under Section 1 ter supplier e plans and a local consister
y signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the docur ith applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government rev e or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehension and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconnarking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.	iewer identifies a e plan or develo	an inconsistence opment regulat pplicable by For use by local
Local Government Consistency Statement	Identify the page(s) in submittal	government Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use</u> and zoning within the service area.	Section 2,4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b) The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	ne režugi
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 215 Table 2-3	in the second
e) Other relevant elements related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans,	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.5	
Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 7.7 Section 11.2	
certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge re consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.		e specific eleme
ignature	Date	

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a <u>municipal water</u> <u>supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
 - a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
 - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
 - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
 - d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
 - e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did <u>not</u> provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.



Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Robert Hansen Planning Manager City of Federal Way 33325 8th Avenue South Federal Way, WA 98003

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Hansen:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: Myrpu.org/WaterSystemPlan

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline 3628 S 35th St Tacoma, WA 98409 jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely.

Jason Moline, PE Water Supply Section

Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

Jason moline



Local Government Consistency Determination Form

•	•
Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Wat	ter)_PWS ID: <u>86800N</u>
Planning/Engineering Document Title: <u>2018 Water System Plan</u>	Plan Date: <u>March</u> 2018
Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review:	
Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or en or Section 110, the local government must review the documentati	

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

		For use by water system	For use by local government
	Local Government Consistency Statement	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a)	The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use</u> and <u>zoning</u> within the service area.	Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b)	The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
c)	For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	in a magazina
d)	Service area policies for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 215 Table 2-3	i kanala Maraji
e)	Other relevant elements related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.5 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	

	atements are true to the best ted local plans and developm	-	these specific element
	:		
	<u> </u>		· · · · · ·
Signature		Da	te

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a <u>municipal water</u> <u>supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
 - a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
 - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
 - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
 - d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
 - e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did <u>not</u> provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.



Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Tom Utterback Planning Director City of Puyallup 333 South Meridian, 2nd Floor Puyallup, WA 98371

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Utterback:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline 3628 S 35th St Tacoma, WA 98409 jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE Water Supply Section

Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

Jason moline



Local Government Consistency Determination Form

anning/Engineering Document Title: <u>2018 Water System Plan</u>	_Plan Date: <u>March</u>	
ocal Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review:	i di Marka i ing Kalabasa Ngjarat i ing Kalabasa	
efore the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or enging Section 110, the local government must review the documentation ovides to prove the submittal is consistent with local comprehensity evelopment regulations (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Sector sector of the municipal water supplier requests a water right part address the elements identified below as they relate to water sector.	the municipal war ve plans, land us ction 105 require a place-of-use expan	ter supplier e plans and Hocal consistenc
y signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the doc ith applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government re e or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehen- end explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency.	eviewer identifies a sive plan or develo onsistency is not a For use by water	an inconsistency, ppment regulatic pplicable by For use by local
Local Government Consistency Statement	system Identify the page(s) in	government Yes or
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land u</u> and zoning within the service area.	submittal	Not Applicable
b) The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1	
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service are policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Figure 2-2	. 14.7
d) Service area policies for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 215 Table 2-3	
e) Other relevant elements related to water supply are addressed in the	section 2,5 Section 2,6 Section 4,5	

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a <u>municipal water</u> <u>supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
 - a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
 - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
 - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
 - d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
 - e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did <u>not</u> provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.



Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Courtney Brunell Planning Manager City of Lakewood 6000 Main Street SW Lakewood, WA 98499-5027

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Brunell:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: https://www.myrpu.org/WaterSystemPlan

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline 3628 S 35th St Tacoma, WA 98409 jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE Water Supply Section

Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

Jason moline



Signature

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

Local Government Consistency Determination Form

ater System Name: <u>City of Tacoma/Water Division</u> (Tacoma Wate	<u>er)</u> PWS ID: <u>86800N</u>	<u> </u>
anning/Engineering Document Title: <u>2018 Water System Plan</u>	Plan Date: <u>Marc</u>	
ocal Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review:	of Park and Artist	
efore the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engon Section 110, the local government must review the documentation rovides to prove the submittal is consistent with local comprehenevelopment regulations (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Sectermination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right aust address the elements identified below as they relate to water supplier.	on the municipal was sive plans, land use ection 105 require place-of-use expa	ater supplier se plans and a local consisten
y signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the do ith applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government e or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehe and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the in- marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.	reviewer identifies nsive plan or devel consistency is not a For use by water	an inconsistency opment regulating plicable by For use by local
	system Identify the	government
Local Government Consistency Statement	page(s) in	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted land and zoning within the service area.	page(s) in submittal use Figure 2-2	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land</u>	page(s) in submittal use Section 2,4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L nt Tables 3-1 fa And 3-2	
 a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted land and zoning within the service area. b) The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consister with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. I different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the 	page(s) in submittal USE Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L It Tables 3-1 If a And 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L rea Section 2.4	
 a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted land and zoning within the service area. b) The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consister with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. I different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology. c) For cities and towns that provide water service: All water service a policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all 	page(s) in submittal USE Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L It Tables 3-1 And 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L rea Section 2.4	Not Applicable

Date

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a <u>municipal water</u> <u>supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
 - a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
 - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
 - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
 - d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
 - e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did <u>not</u> provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.



Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Rob White Town Planner Town of Ruston 5117 North Winnifred Street Ruston, WA 98407

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. White:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: MyTPU.org/WaterSystemPlan

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline 3628 S 35th St Tacoma, WA 98409 jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline

Jason Moline, PE Water Supply Section

Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities



Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: <u>City of Tacoma/Water Division</u> (Tacoma Water) F	WS ID: <u>86800N</u>	
	lan Date: <u>March</u>	
Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review:	AND THE STATE	
Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineer or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the provides to prove the submittal is consistent with local comprehensive development regulations (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right plamust address the elements identified below as they relate to water serv	ne municipal wad e plans, land us on 105 require a ce-of-use expan	ter supplier e plans and local consistency
By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconstructions on reverse.	ewer identifies a e plan or develc	n inconsistency, opment regulation
Local Government Consistency Statement	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use</u> and zoning within the service area.	Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b) The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	vi ·
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	ran artija
d) Service area policies for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 215 Table 2-3	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
e) Other relevant elements related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.5 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	
I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledgare consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.	e and that thes	e specific element
Signature	. — Date	

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a <u>municipal water</u> <u>supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
 - a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
 - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
 - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
 - d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
 - e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did <u>not</u> provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.



Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Jeff Boers City of Fircrest 115 Ramsdell Street Tacoma, WA 98466

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Boers:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: https://www.myrpu.org/WaterSystemPlan

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline 3628 S 35th St Tacoma, WA 98409 jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Jason Moline, PE Water Supply Section

Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities

Josen moline



Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

Local Government Consistency Determination Form

A May resign to the second of the mage transfer of the second of the sec		
Water System Name: <u>City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water)</u> P	WS ID: <u>86800N</u>	Recording to
Planning/Engineering Document Title: <u>2018 Water System Plan</u> P	lan Date: <u>March</u>	2018
Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review:	Hill while the	4
Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineer or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the provides to prove the submittal is consistent with local comprehensive development regulations (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right plan must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.	ering submittal une municipal wat plans, land use plans, land use pn 105 require a ce-of-use expan	under Section 100 er supplier e plans and local consistency
By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency N/A. See more instructions on reverse.	ewer identifies a e plan or develo sistency is not ap For use by water	n inconsistency, pment regulation oplicable by For use by local
Local Government Consistency Statement	system Identify the page(s) in submittal	government Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use</u> and zoning within the service area.	Section 2,4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b) The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	The Arguests
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.5 Table 2-3	
e) Other relevant elements related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.6 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	
I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledg are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.	e and that these	e specific element
Signature	Date	

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a <u>municipal water</u> <u>supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
 - a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
 - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
 - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
 - d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
 - e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did <u>not</u> provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.



Tacoma, Washington 98409-3192

TACOMA PUBLIC UTILITIES

April 2, 2018

Mr. Lihuang Wung Senior Planner City of Tacoma 747 Market Street, Room 345 Tacoma. WA 98402

Re: Tacoma Water 2018 Water System Plan Available for Review

Dear Mr. Wung:

Tacoma Water has completed a draft of the water system's 2018 Water System Plan (WSP) update, and is preparing to submit a copy to the Washington State Department of Health (DOH), Pierce County, and King County for approval. Tacoma Water is required to notify jurisdictions within its water service area that the draft 2018 WSP update is available for their review for consistency with local comprehensive plans, land use plans, and development regulations.

This 2018 WSP is an update to the last plan adopted by Tacoma Water in 2006. Tacoma Water's 2018 WSP update includes (but is not limited to): an updated demand forecast for the system out to 2037, analysis of distribution system deficiencies and necessary improvements, description of changes made to the system since the last WSP such as adding the Green River Filtration Facility, review of watershed conditions in relation to water quality, overview of the system's asset management program, and a capital improvement program and financial plan through 2026.

Enclosed is the Local Government Consistency Determination Form. Tacoma Water has posted a copy of the draft 2018 WSP on its website: Myrpu.org/WaterSystemPlan

A printed and bound copy of the draft 2018 WSP is also available for review at the Tacoma Public Utilities office. If requested, Tacoma Water can mail an electronic copy of the draft WSP in a CD.

Please provide a completed and signed Local Government Consistency Determination Form and any other comments or questions you have on the draft 2018 WSP update by June 22, 2018, via email or regular mail to the address below:

Jason Moline 3628 S 35th St Tacoma, WA 98409 jmoline@ci.tacoma.wa.us

Tacoma Water plans to finalize the 2018 WSP in July after incorporating comments from DOH, counties, public, local agency, and adjacent water systems, as appropriate. Tacoma Water appreciates your input and interest in its water system plan.

Sincerely,

Janon Moline

Jason Moline, PE Water Supply Section

Tacoma Water/Tacoma Public Utilities



Local Government Consistency Determination Form

	·
Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Wate	r) PWS ID: 86800N
The state of the s	Talk to a gradual and the second of the second
Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan	Plan Date: <u>March 2018</u>
Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review:	O.C. 複形的 And Company of the com-
Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or eng	ineering submittal under Section

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

		For use by water system	For use by local government
	Local Government Consistency Statement	ldentify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a)	The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use</u> and <u>zoning</u> within the service area.	Figure 2-2 Appendix L	
b)	The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	*
c)	For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	er e ye.
d)	Service area policies for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 215 Table 2-3	
e)	Other relevant elements related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.5 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	

i certify that the above statements are consistent with adopted local p		•	-	-	that these specific el	ements
are commissions man adopted rocal p	nans and devel	princing				
Signature		:			Date	

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a <u>municipal water supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a <u>municipal water</u> <u>supplier</u> wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

- A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.
 - a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.
 - b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.
 - c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*
 - d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.
 - e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.
- B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.
- C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did <u>not</u> provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.