

Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: City of Ruston

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	Yes
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	Yes
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	Yes
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.6 Table 2-3	Yes
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.6 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	Yes

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Rob White

Signature

Rob White, Planning Director, City of Ruston

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

3/22/2019

Date

Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: City of Puyallup

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	Yes
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	Yes
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant utility service extension ordinances.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	Yes
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.6 Table 2-3	Yes
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.6 Section 2.6 Section 4.6 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	Yes

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Craig R. Hale
Signature
Craig R. Hale / Water Supervisor / City of Puyallup
Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

3/21/19
Date

Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: Lakewood, WA

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	yes
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	yes
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	N/A
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.6 Table 2-3	N/A
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.6 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	N/A

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Signature: Courtney Brunell Date: 2/15/2019
Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction: Courtney Brunell, Planning Manager, Lakewood, WA

Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: City of Fircrest

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	Yes
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	Yes
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	Yes
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.5 Table 2-3	Yes
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.6 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	Yes

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Signature

Angelic Stahlnecker, Planning Bldg Admin
Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction City of Fircrest

Date

2/19/19

Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: Bonney Lake

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	Yes
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	Yes
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	Yes
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.6 Table 2-3	Yes
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.6 Section 2.6 Section 4.5 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	Yes

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.



Signature

Jason Sullivan, Planning & Building Supervisor, City of Bonney Lake

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

2/26/19

Date



Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: _____

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	Yes
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	yes
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	N/A
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.5 Table 2-3	N/A
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.6 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	N/A

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Robert 'Doc' Hansen

Signature

2/28/2019
Date

ROBERT 'DOC' HANSEN, FINANCIAL MANAGER
Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: _____

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	YES
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	YES
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	YES
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.6 Table 2-3	YES
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.6 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	YES

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

David Swinokle

Signature

8/6/18

Date

DAVID SWINOKLE, DIRECTOR, PLANNING
Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE

Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Tacoma/Water Division (Tacoma Water) PWS ID: 86800N

Planning/Engineering Document Title: 2018 Water System Plan Plan Date: March 2018


Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: City of Tacoma

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

Local Government Consistency Statement	For use by water system	For use by local government
	Identify the page(s) in submittal	Yes or Not Applicable
a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <u>land use and zoning</u> within the service area.	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2 Appendix L	Yes.
b) The <u>growth projection</u> used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.	Tables 3-1 and 3-2 Figure 3-1 Appendix L	Yes.
c) For <u>cities and towns that provide water service</u> : All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <u>utility service extension ordinances</u> .	Section 2.4 Figure 2-2	Yes.
d) <u>Service area policies</u> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.	Section 2.5 Table 2-3	Yes, but see attached notes.
e) <u>Other relevant elements</u> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.	Section 2.5 Section 2.6 Section 4.5 Section 7.7 Section 11.2	Yes, but see attached notes.

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.


Signature
Lihuang Wung, Senior Planner
Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

6/15/18
Date

City of Tacoma, Planning & Development Services

Attachment to Local Government Consistency Determination Form

City of Tacoma/Water Division

2018 Water System Plan

Reviewer's Notes:

1. The City of Tacoma's Comprehensive Plan has been amended on an annual basis since the last Water System Plan was adopted in 2006. The current version of the Comprehensive Plan is also called the "One Tacoma Plan." The Utilities Element of the older version of the Comprehensive Plan has been replaced with the "Public Facilities and Services Element." Please visit www.cityoftacoma.org/OneTacoma for more details.
2. In Table 2-3, concerning "Annexation Policy", please consider adding "One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan, Public Facilities and Services Element" as an additional source. Please review annexation related policies contained in the element, i.e., Goal PFS-2 and Policies PFS-2.1 through PFS-2.7 (on pages 9-7, 9-8 and 9-9), and cite appropriate policies.
3. In Table 2-3, concerning "Surcharge for Outside Customers", the reference to the Comprehensive Plan's Utilities Element should be replaced with "One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan, Public Facilities and Services Element." As to the appropriate policies to cite, please see Note #2 above.
4. In Table 2-3, concerning "Urban Growth Areas", the reference to the Comprehensive Plan's Utilities Element should be replaced with "One Tacoma Comprehensive Plan, Public Facilities and Services Element." As to the appropriate policies to cite, please see Note #2 above and please review level of service standard related policies contained in the element, i.e., Goal PFS-4 and Policies PFS-4.1 through PFS-4.10 (on pages 9-10, 9-11, 9-12 and 9-13).
5. Concerning the "2017-2022 Capital Facilities Program" that appears in the Executive Summary (page xvi) and in Section 11.3 (page 11-9), please be advised that the document is being updated to "2019-2024 Capital Facilities Program" by the Finance Department's Office of Management and Budget.

Reviewer:

Lihuang Wung, Senior Planner, Planning and Development Services Department

Date: June 15, 2018